UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,185	08/16/2001	Jarmo Pulkkinen	P 281584 299098US/HS/HER	1112
909 PH I SBURY V	7590 12/13/2007 WINTHROP SHAW PITT	MAN IIP	P 281584 1112 299098US/HS/HER EXAMINER NGUYEN, DUSTIN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2154 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	INER
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
MCLEAN, VA	. 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
215				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/930,185	PULKKINEN, JARMO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dustin Nguyen	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the specific part o	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/01/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. As per remarks, Applicants' argued that (1) Hemphill does not teach or suggest the response including more than one pointer indicating a location of additional information in a data communication network or network element external to the network management system.
- 4. As to point (1), Hemphill discloses the file locator 313 is provided to locate any file in the network that includes further information about the particular management event the occurred on the managed device, a plurality of such files may be provided, such as the information files 233, each corresponding to one or more of the possible management events [i.e. the response including more than one pointer indicating a location of additional information in a data communication network or network element external to the network management system] [col 13, lines 1-8; and col 14, lines 36-41].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number:

09/930,185 Art Unit: 2154

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 14, 16-18, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hemphill et al. [US Patent No 6,167,448].
- 7. As per claim 1, Hemphill discloses the invention as claimed including a method of data transmission to a network management system [i.e. the event notification logic transmits the event notification message to the management server] [Figure 1; and col 1, lines 38-46], the method comprising:

providing a response to be transmitted to the network management system [i.e. the event notification module assembles an event notification message in response to one or more management events] [col 7, lines 15-22; and col 14, lines 2-6], the response including more than one pointer indicating a location of additional information in a data communication network or network element external to the network management system [i.e. a URL to locate one or more information files in the network that provides further information about the management event] [Figures 2 and 3; Abstract; col 8, lines 27-45; and col 14, lines 36-41]; and

transmitting the response to the network management system [i.e. the event notification logic transmits the ENM to the management server] [Abstract; and col 1, lines 43-46].

- 8. As per claim 2, Hemphill discloses receiving the response in the network management system [i.e. the management server includes an event processor that receives and parses the ENM] [col 2, lines 15-17; and col 13, lines 39-47]; and transmitting the pointer to a network management system user [i.e. EVENTURL is a field that specifies a URL that may be accessed

to view additional information] [col 4, lines 58-col 5, lines 15; and col 9, lines 60-62].

- 9. As per claim 3, Hemphill discloses receiving a request for additional information in the network management system [i.e. the user to select and view detailed information] [col 4, lines 48-65; and col 13, lines 34-38]; and opening the additional information at the location indicated by the pointer [i.e. the location pointer may comprise a URL to locate and retrieve one or more information files] [col 8, lines 27-45].
- 10. As per claim 7, Hemphill discloses wherein the pointer identifies both the location of the additional information and a protocol [col 10, lines 22-62; and col 13, lines 1-30].
- 11. As per claim 8, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1 and 7.
- 12. As per claim 14, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 1. Furthermore, Hemphill discloses a telecommunication network [Figure 2; and col 6, lines 44-col 7, lines 5].

Art Unit: 2154

13. As per claim 16, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 1. Furthermore, Hemphill discloses store additional information in a predetermined form [i.e. convert management data into a form that may be browsed] [col 3, lines 29-43].

Page 5

- 14. As per claim 17, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 14.
- 15. As per claim 18, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 3.
- 16. As per claim 20, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 2, 3 and 14.
- 17. As per claim 21, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 7 and 14.
- 18. As per claims 22 and 23, they are program product claimed of claims 14 and 17, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 14 and 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 20. Claims 4-6, 9-13, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hemphill et al. [US Patent No 6,167,448], in view of Leong et al. [US Patent No 5,996,010].
- 21. As per claim 4, Hemphill discloses performing a function relating to network management in a network element [col 8, lines 15-26]. Hemphill does not specifically storing information concerning the function as additional information in a predetermined location in a predetermined form. Leong discloses storing information concerning the function as additional information in a predetermined location in a predetermined form [i.e. the location of the remote file is determined by an agent.config file] [col 10, lines 23-33 and 42-45]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hemphill and Leong because Leong's teaching of determining the location of remote file would provide a mechanism whereby network management can be performed over an internet or an intranet using a web browser [Leong, col 15, lines 60-col 16, lines 8].
- 22. As per claim 5, Hemphill discloses performing a function relating to network management in a network element [col 8, lines 15-26]. Hemphill does not specifically disclose storing information concerning the function as additional information in a location determined by the network management system. Leong discloses storing information concerning the function as additional information in a location determined by the network management system [col 10, lines 23-33 and 42-45]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hemphill and Leong because Leong's teaching

Application/Control Number:

09/930,185

Art Unit: 2154

of determining the location of remote file would provide a mechanism whereby network management can be performed over an internet or an intranet using a web browser [Leong, col 15, lines 60-col 16, lines 8].

- 23. As per claim 6, Hemphill does not specifically disclose wherein the response is an alarm transmitted to the network management system. Leong discloses wherein the response is an alarm transmitted to the network management system [col 14, lines 8-12]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hemphill and Leong because Leong's teaching of alarm or alert condition would allow a continuous and dedicated TCP/IP connection to be established between a client and a network management agent, thus allowing a network manager, utilizing a browser, continually to monitor various aspects of a network device [Leong, col 16, lines 1-9].
- 24. As per claim 9, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 6 and 7.
- 25. As per claim 10, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 4 and 6.
- 26. As per claim 11, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 3 and 4.
- 27. As per claim 12, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 3, 5 and 6.
- 28. As per claim 13, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 3, 4 and 6.

- 29. As per claim 15, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 4 and 14.
- 30. As per claim 19, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 6.
- 31. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2154

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached at (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

msy

Examiner

Art Unit 2154